PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

11				٦	REC'D 17 FEB 2	
	0.				PCT	
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO		
			96	1	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			F15	Date of mailing		
L				-	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Ap	plicant's or agent's file reference					
Se	ee form PCT/ISA/	3A/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	emational application CT/IL2005/000914		International filing date (24.08.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
					25.08.2004	
BC	01J19/00, G01N1	soffication (IPC) or 5/14	both national classification	and IPC		
	plicant OLECULAR CYT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
_						
1.	This opinion a	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:		
	Box No. I			3		
		Priority				
	Box No. IV	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	ind to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention			
	_	, ,	ano ano annananons	.1(a)(i) with regard to i supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited			
		Certain defects	In the international appl	lication		
	Box No. VII					
		Certain observa	ations on the internationa	al application		
2.		Certain observa	ations on the Internations	al application		
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bur	Certain observa ON International preli- f the Internationa poses an Authoriti eau under Bule 6	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha	usually be considered to be a owever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority	
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Burn will not be so cor	Certain observa ON International prelia f the Internationa poses an Authorit eau under Rule e nsidered.	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l 6.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op	al application nade, this opinion will u Authority ("IPEA"). Ho be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati	owever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority	
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bur will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE	Certain observa ON International prelia f the Internationa ioses an Authorit eau under Rule 6 isidered. as provided abov A a written reply date of mailing of	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op ve, considered to be a w	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati ritten opinion of the IP	wever, this does not apply where	
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bur will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the	Certain observa ON International preli- f the International poses an Authorite eau under Rule 6 insidered. as provided above A a written reply date of mailing of s later.	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l i6.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op ve, considered to be a w together, where approp f Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati ritten opinion of the IP	Dever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to	
2.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bur will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire For further option	Certain observa ON International preli- f the International proses an Authoriti eau under Rule 6 isidered. as provided abov A a written reply date of mailing of s later. Is, see Form PCT	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l i6.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op re, considered to be a w together, where approp f Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati ritten opinion of the IP	Dwever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to	
	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bur will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire For further option	Certain observa ON International preli- f the International proses an Authoriti eau under Rule 6 isidered. as provided abov A a written reply date of mailing of s later. Is, see Form PCT	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l i6.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op ve, considered to be a w together, where approp f Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati ritten opinion of the IP	Dwever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to	
3.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Burn will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire For further option For further details	Certain observa ON International prelia is the International poses an Authorit eau under Rule 6 is idered. as provided about A a written reply date of mailing of s later. is, see Form PCT s, see notes to For	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l i6.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op re, considered to be a w together, where approp f Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati ritten opinion of the IP	Dwever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to	
3.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bur will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire For further option	Certain observa ON International prelia is the International poses an Authorit eau under Rule 6 is idered. as provided about A a written reply date of mailing of s later. is, see Form PCT s, see notes to For	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l 36.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op re, considered to be a wr together, where approp f Form PCT/ISA/220 or b T/ISA/220. form PCT/ISA/220.	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the c inions of this internati ritten opinion of the IP	Dwever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to	
3.	Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Burn will not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire For further option For further details	Certain observa ON International prelin- f the International ioses an Authorite eau under Rule 6 isidered. as provided abou- A a written reply date of mailing or s later. is, see Form PCT is, see notes to For s of the ISA: atent Office	minary examination is m Preliminary Examining y other than this one to l i6.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written op re, considered to be a wr together, where approp f Form PCT/ISA/220 or b T/ISA/220. frm PCT/ISA/220.	al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). Ha be the IPEA and the o binions of this Internati ritten opinion of the IP riate, with amendmen before the expiration o	Dwever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to	

.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

- With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 - This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
- With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:

÷ }•

- a sequence listing
- □ table(s) related to the sequence listing
- b. format of material:
 - in written format
 - □ in computer readable form
- c. time of filing/furnishing:
 - □ contained in the international application as filed.
 - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - □ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
- 3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as
- 4. Additional comments:

•

43

	industrial applicability; citat	ions and e	explanatio	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ins supporting such statement
1.	Statement			
	Novetty (N)	Yes:	Claims	2, 3,6-8, 11,13- 15,19,20,24-27,29-37,41-43,51-59,61,62,65-69,72,73
		No:	Claims	1,4,5,9,10,12,16-18,21-23,28,38-40,44-50,60,63,64,70
	Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	
		No:	Claims	2, 3,6-8, 11,13- 15,19,20,24-27,29-37,41-43,51-59,61,62,65-69,72,73
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-73
	Citations and explanations			
	see separate sheet			
	Box No. Vi Certain docume	nts cited		
•	Certain published documents (I	Rules 43 <i>bi</i>	s.1 and 70	.10)
	and /or			<i>.</i>
	Non-written disclosures (Rules	43 <i>bis</i> .1 an	id 70.9)	
	see form 210		-	
	Box No. VIII Certain observe			ational application

claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

. . .

Ad Section V: Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability

1) Documents

24

D1...Koh et al. (2002) Langmuir 18: 2459-2462

2) The present application relates to a method of immobilising at least one cell from a plurality of cells comprising placing the plurality of cells in functional proximity of a radiation sensitive medium having a first state that does not immobilise cells and a second state that immobilises cells wherein the medium transforms from the first state to the second state upon exposure to radiation, selecting at least one cell to be immobilised and using radiation to transform the medium in the vicinity of said at least one cell.

Further claimed is a device to perform the method.

3) The application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2)(3) PCT for the following reasons:

D1 describes Poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogel microstructures. Cells were added to a gel precursor solution, the solution was applied to a carrier so to form a uniform fluid layer. Upon UV exposure of the gel through a photomask only those regions which were exposed to the UV light became insoluble. The cells and gel which were not exposed to the UV light could be washed away and the cells entrapped in the hydrogel could be further analysed and/or treated (p. 2460, left col., Fig. 3).

D1 is thus considered novelty destroying for claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 16-18, 21-23 and 28.

While D1 does not explicitly disclose a device for immobilising cells as defined in claim 38, the method described in D1 cannot be performed without such device. Claims 38-40, 44-50, 60, 63, 64 and 70 are thus also considered to be anticipated by D1.

1 6

Dependent claims 2, 3, 6-8, 11, 13-15, 19, 20, 24-27, 29-37, 41-43, 51-59, 61, 62, 65-69, 72 and 73 which describe further features of the method and the device appear to be common in the technical field and are thus considered obvious for the skilled person.

Ad Section VIII: Certain observations on the international application

The present set of claims does not meet the requirements of Art. 6 PCT for the following reasons:

Independent claims 1 and 38 which are directed to the method and to a device for immobilising cells are very broadly formulated and do not contain all the essential features necessary to perform the invention. These claims are therefore objected to under Art. 6 PCT.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 2) (EPO-January 2004)